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#### Abstract

Let us consider a distribution $c$ with is the sum of another distribution, $\omega$, and a linear combination of Dirac distribution with masses $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{q}$ at the points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q}$. We have proposed a method (in "IMACS Transaction on Orthogonal Polynomials and Their Applications" (C. Brezinski, L. Gori, and A. Ronveaux, Eds.), pp. 365-372, Baltzer, Basel, 1991) to compute the points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q}$ when $\omega$ has an asymptotic property when applied to a given sequence of orthogonal polynomials. This paper is devoted to the computation of the masses $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{q}$ with the aid of Chebyshev polynomials, Christoffel function and $\varepsilon$-algorithm according to the distribution $\omega$. An application to finding the limit of totally monotonic sequence is also given. O 1992 Academic Press, Inc.


## 1. Introduction and Notations

The space of linear functionals (distributions) defined on $P$, space of polynomials, will be denoted by $P^{\prime}$. The Dirac distribution $\delta_{x_{0}}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\left\langle\delta_{x_{0}}, p\right\rangle=p\left(x_{0}\right), \quad p \in P, \quad x_{0} \in \mathbf{C}
$$

Let $c$ and $\omega$ be two elements of $P^{\prime}$. We assume that the moments

$$
c_{n}:=c\left(x^{n}\right):=\left\langle c, x^{n}\right\rangle, \quad n=0,1, \ldots
$$

are given and that $c$ and $\omega$ are related by the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\omega+\sum_{i=1}^{4} A_{i} \delta_{a_{i}} \quad a_{i} \in[-1,1], a_{i} \text { distincts, } A_{i} \in \mathbf{C}-\{0\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\omega$ has an integral representation whose support is a compact interval of $\mathbf{R}$, then a general convergence theorem of Goncar [10] for the Pade approximants to the sum of a Markov-Stieltjes function $h(t)$ and a rational fraction $r(t)$ permits to compute the points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q}$ (which are the inverse of the poles of $r(t))$ and the residues $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{q}$ in the case where $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q}$ do not lie on the cut of $h(t)$.

If $\omega$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, with a positive density $w$, then $w$ can be approximated by methods explained in [15] and using Christoffel functions, with Turan determinants [15, p. 80; $1]$, or with continued fraction $[2,11]$ ).

Here, we present a method for computing the residues $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{q}$ when points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q}$ are known (or computed by the method described in [16]) when $\omega$ is absolutely continuous ( $\omega$ non necessarily positive) with respect to the Lebesgue measure on a compact interval of $\mathbf{R}$ (section 2 ) or when $\omega$ is a positive distribution on $\mathbf{R}$ (Section 3).

## 2. Chebyshey Polynomials

Let $c$ and $\omega$ be two distributions defined on $P$ and which satisfy relation (1).

Moreover, we suppose that $\omega$ has an integral representation on a compact interval of $\mathbf{R}$ which can be assumed to be $[-1,1]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\omega, p\rangle:=\int_{-1}^{1} p(x) w(x) d x \quad w \in L^{1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to get the mass $A_{l}$ at the point $a_{l}$, the idea is to apply both sides of equality (1) to the characteristic function $\chi_{\left\{a_{l}\right\}}$ defined as the following:

$$
\chi_{\left\{a_{l}\right\}}(x)=1 \quad \text { if } \quad x=a_{l}, \quad 0 \text { otherwise }
$$

This formally gives

$$
\left\langle c, \chi_{\left\{a_{l}\right\}}\right\rangle=\left\langle\omega, \chi_{\left\{a_{i}\right\}}\right\rangle+A_{l}=A_{l},
$$

since $\omega$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $d x$. Thus, $A_{l}$ appears as the moment of $\chi_{\{a,\}}$ by the distribution $c$. Since only the polynomial moments $c_{n}$ are known, it is realistic to approximate the characteristic function by a sequence of polynomials $l_{n} \in P_{n}$ in a way such that the equality

$$
A_{l}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle c, l_{n}\right\rangle
$$

holds.

A convenient means to construct such polynomials $l_{n}$ is the kernel polynomial for the weight $d x / \sqrt{1-x^{2}}$. Let us first recall some properties of Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $T_{n}$ :

$$
T_{n}(x)=\cos (n \operatorname{Arccos} x) \quad n=0,1, \ldots
$$

Three-terms recurrence relationship:

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
T_{n+1}(x)= & 2 x T_{n}(x)-T_{n-1}(x) \\
T_{0}=1 \quad & T_{1}=x
\end{array}\right.
$$

Orthogonality relation:
$k \in \mathbf{N}, \quad l \in \mathbf{N}^{*}, \quad \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{+1} T_{k}(x) T_{l}(x) \frac{d x}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}=\delta_{k l}$ (Kronecker symbol).
The sequence $(1 / \sqrt{\pi}) T_{0}, \sqrt{(2 / \pi)} T_{1}(x), \ldots, \sqrt{(2 / \pi)} T_{n}(x)$ is the system of orthonormal polynomials with respect to the weight function $1 / \sqrt{1-x^{2}}$, $-1<x<1$.

Let us consider, now, the reproducing kernel polynomial (which is orthogonal w.r.t. $\left.(x-a) d x / \sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{n}(x, a)=\left[T_{n+1}(x) T_{n}(a)-T_{n}(x) T_{n+1}(a)\right] /(x-a) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, due to Christoffel identity can be rewritten as

$$
k_{n}(x, a)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} T_{k}(x) T_{k}(a)
$$

where $\sum_{i=0}^{\prime n} u_{i}:=\frac{1}{2} u_{0}+u_{1}+\cdots+u_{n}$.
From the definition of $T_{n}$, it follows immediately that

$$
\left|(x-a) k_{n}(x, a)\right| \leqslant 2, \quad-1 \leqslant x \leqslant+1, \quad-1 \leqslant a \leqslant+1
$$

The value of $k_{n}(x, a)$ at $x=a$ is

$$
k_{n}(a, a)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} T_{k}^{2}(a)=T_{n+1}^{\prime}(a) T_{n}(a)-T_{n}^{\prime}(a) T_{n+1}(a)
$$

An identity between $T_{n}$ and $U_{n}$, Chebyshev polynomial of second kind also gives

$$
k_{n}(a, a)=\frac{1}{4}\left(U_{2 n}(a)+2 n+1\right)
$$

The polynomial

$$
l_{n}(x, a)=k_{n}(x, a) / k_{n}(a, a)
$$

satisfies the following properties [6, p. 102]:
(i) $l_{n}(a, a)=1$
(ii) $\left|l_{n}(x, a)\right| \leqslant 4 / n|x-a| n \geqslant 3, x, a \in[-1,1]$
(iii) $\left|l_{n}(x, a)\right| \leqslant 4 n \geqslant 3, x, a \in[-1,1]$.

The kernel polynomial $l_{n}(x, a)=\sum_{k=0}^{\prime n} T_{k}(x) T_{k}(a) / \sum_{k=0}^{\prime n} T_{k}^{2}(a)$ with $a=0.5$ and $n=20$ is plotted in Fig. 1.

The Lebesgue theorem insures that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^{1} l_{n}(x, a) w(x) d x=0
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c\left(l_{n}(x, a)\right) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\int_{-1}^{1} l_{n}(x, a) w(x) d x+\sum_{i=1}^{q} A_{i} l_{n}\left(a_{i}, a\right)\right] \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{q} A_{i} l_{n}\left(a_{i}, a\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $a=a_{l}$ and $a_{i}$ distincts $\in[-1,1]$ then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} l_{n}\left(a_{i}, a_{l}\right)=0 \quad i \neq l \\
l_{n}\left(a_{l}, a_{l}\right)=1
\end{gathered}
$$

and thus we get

$$
A_{l}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c\left[l_{n}\left(x, a_{l}\right)\right]
$$
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ThEOREM 1. Let c and $\omega$ be two distributions satisfying (1). If $\omega$ satisfies

$$
\langle\omega, p\rangle=\int_{-1}^{1} p(x) w(x) d x \quad w \in L^{1}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
1 \leqslant l \leqslant q \quad A_{l} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} c\left(T_{k}\right) T_{k}\left(a_{l}\right)}{\sum_{k=0}^{n} T_{k}^{2}\left(a_{l}\right)} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4 \sum_{k=0}^{\prime n} c\left(T_{k}\right) T_{k}\left(a_{l}\right)}{\left(U_{2 n}\left(a_{l}\right)+2 n+1\right)} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

See Section 4 for numerical examples.
Remark. If we do not know that the distribution has Dirac masses, a convenient way is to plot the function

$$
c\left(l_{n}(x, a)\right):=\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} c\left(T_{k}\right) T_{k}(a)}{\sum_{k=0}^{\prime n} T_{k}^{2}(a)} \quad \text { for } \quad a \in[-1,1]
$$

If $c$ has a Dirac mass at the point $a$ then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c\left(l_{n}(x, a)\right) \neq 0(0$ otherwise). In Fig. 2 we have plotted the function $c\left(l_{n}(x, a)\right)(c$ acts on $x)$, for $a \in[-1,1], c=\chi_{[-1,1]} d x+\delta_{0.5}$ and $n=20$.

A similar result holds for the Christoffel function: if $P_{k}(c, x)$ is the orthogonal polynomial with respect to the linear functional $c$ defined as in the introduction, then the Christoffel function is

$$
\lambda_{n}(x):=\frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{k}^{2}(c, x)}
$$



Figure 2


Figure 3

The values of $\lambda_{n}(x)$ can be computed using the Fortran routines published in [14] or with $\varepsilon$-algorithm on a computer algebra (see Section 3).

If the distribution $c$ possesses a Dirac mass at the point $a$ then this function will have a peak at this point $a$. In Fig. 3 is plotted the Christoffel function $\lambda_{n}(a), a \in[-1,1]$ for the distribution $c$, using the same number of moments as in the Fig.2, that is to say for $n=10$. Note that the Christoffel function does not require the knowledge of the support of the the distribution $c$ [13].

Generally, computing modified moments from the ordinary power moments may lead to numerical instability [7] and the former are computed, if possible, directly from the expression of $c[4,8]$. Here we have to compute modified moments for Chebyshev polynomials and it can be done by using their three-terms recurrence relationship.

## 3. Padé Approximants and $\varepsilon$-Algorithm

We assume now that $c$ and $\omega$ are related by (5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\omega+A \delta_{a} \quad a \in \mathbf{R}, \quad A \in \mathbf{C} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $\omega$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\omega, p\rangle=\int_{\mathbf{R}} p(x) d \alpha(x) \quad p \in P \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (5) is equivalent to

$$
(x-a) c=(x-a) \omega
$$

Let us set

$$
\tilde{c}=(x-a) c \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{\omega}=(x-a) \omega
$$

the moments $\tilde{c}_{n}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{n}$ satisfy

$$
\tilde{c}_{n}=c_{n+1}-a c_{n}=\tilde{\omega}_{n}=\omega_{n+1}-a \omega_{n} \quad n=0,1, \ldots
$$

The orthogonal polynomials with respect to $\tilde{c}=\tilde{\omega}$ will be denoted by $P_{k}(\tilde{c}, x)$ or $P_{k}(\tilde{\omega}, x)$. From the Christoffel Darboux identity, it arises

$$
P_{n}(\tilde{c}, x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{k}(c, x) P_{k}(c, a)=P_{k}(\tilde{\omega}, x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{k}(\omega, x) P_{k}(\omega, a)
$$

where $P_{k}(d, x)$ are orthonormal with respect to the functional $d$ :

$$
d\left(P_{k}(d, x) P_{j}(d, x)\right)=\delta_{k j} \quad k, j \in \mathbf{N}
$$

Let us now consider the sequence of polynomials:

$$
\begin{align*}
l_{n}(x, a): & =P_{n}(\tilde{c}, x) / P_{n}(\tilde{c}, a)  \tag{7}\\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{k}(\omega, x) P_{k}(\omega, a) / \sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{k}^{2}(\omega, a)  \tag{8}\\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{k}(c, x) P_{k}(c, a) / \sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{k}^{2}(c, a) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The moments $c\left(l_{n}(x, a)\right)$ satisfy

$$
c\left(l_{n}(x, a)\right)=c\left(\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{k}(c, x) P_{k}(c, a)}{\sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{k}^{2}(c, a)}\right)=\frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{k}^{2}(c, a)} .
$$

From relation (5), the moments $c\left(l_{n}(x, a)\right)$ can also be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(l_{n}(x, a)\right) & =\omega\left(l_{n}(x, a)\right)+A l_{n}(a, a) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{k}^{2}(\omega, a)}+A \quad(\text { From (8)). }
\end{aligned}
$$

A condition which insures that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 / \sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{k}^{2}(\omega, a)=0
$$

is that $\alpha$ be continuous at the point $\alpha$ and the distribution $d \alpha$ belongs to the set $E$ of distributions uniquely determined by their moments [6, p. 62].

Theorem 2. If $c$ and $\omega$ satisfy the relation (5). If $\omega$ satisfies (6) with $d \alpha \in E$ and $\alpha$ continuous at the point $a \in \mathbf{R}$.
Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c\left[l_{n}(x, a)\right]=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{k}^{2}(c, a)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. If $\alpha(x)$ has some jumps at the points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q}$ of magnitude $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{q} \in \mathbf{R}^{+}$then the relation (5) becomes

$$
c=\bar{\omega}+\sum_{i=1}^{q} A_{i} \delta a_{i}+A \delta_{a}
$$

which is equivalent to the relation (1).
The quantities involved in (10) which are also required in the computation of the weights in Gauss-Christoffel quadrature formula can be evaluated from the three-terms relation satisfied by the orthogonal polynomials $P_{k}(c, a)$ (see $[9,8]$ ).

These quantities $1 / \sum P_{k}^{2}(c, a)$ can also be computed with $\varepsilon$-algorithm as explained in the following proposition:

## Proposition 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left[l_{n}(x, a)\right] & =1 / \sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{k}^{2}(c, a) \\
& =\varepsilon_{2 n}^{(0)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the quantities $\varepsilon_{2 n}^{(0)}$ are computed with the $\varepsilon$-algorithm of Wynn,

$$
\varepsilon_{k+1}^{(n)}=\varepsilon_{k-1}^{(n+1)}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{k}^{(n+1)}-\varepsilon_{k}^{(n)}}, \quad k, n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{-1}^{(n)} & =0 \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \\
\varepsilon_{0}^{(n)} & =c_{n} / a^{n}, \quad a \neq 0 \\
& =(I+E)^{n} c_{0}:=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} c_{k}, \quad a=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. First, we write $c\left[l_{n}(x, a)\right]$ in terms of Padé Approximants.
From

$$
\tilde{c}=(x-a) c
$$

we get

$$
\tilde{c}_{n}=c_{n+1}-a c_{n} \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

and so

$$
c_{n}=\tilde{c}_{n-1}+a \tilde{c}_{n-2}+a^{2} \tilde{c}_{n-3}+\cdots+a^{n-1} \tilde{c}_{0}+a^{n} c_{0}
$$

Thus

$$
c(p)=\tilde{c}\left(\frac{p(x)-p(a)}{x-a}\right)+c_{0} p(a) \quad p \in P
$$

Applying the functional $c$ to equality (7) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left[l_{n}(x, a)\right] & =\frac{c\left[P_{n}(\tilde{c}, x)\right]}{P_{n}(\tilde{c}, a)} \\
& =\left(\tilde{c}\left[\frac{P_{n}(\tilde{c}, x)-P_{n}(\tilde{c}, a)}{x-a}\right]+c_{0} P_{n}(\tilde{c}, a)\right) / P_{n}(\tilde{c}, a) \\
& \Rightarrow c\left[l_{n}(x, a)\right]=c_{0}+a^{-1}[n-1 / n]_{f}\left(a^{-1}\right) \\
& =[n / n]_{f}\left(a^{-1}\right), \quad[5, \text { Chap. 3] }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $[n / n]$ is the Pade Approximant to the function

$$
f(t):=c_{0}+\tilde{c}_{0} t+\tilde{c}_{1} t^{2}+\cdots
$$

Now, it is well known that Pade approximant to the series $f$ and $\varepsilon$-algorithm are related by [5, p. 159]

$$
[n / n]_{f}(t)=\varepsilon_{2 n}^{(0)}
$$

where $\varepsilon$-algorithm is applied to the sequence of partial sums of $f: f_{n}(t)=$ $c_{0}+\tilde{c}_{0} t+\tilde{c}_{1} t^{2}+\cdots+\tilde{c}_{n-1} t^{n}$.

Here $f_{n}(1 / a)=c_{0}+\tilde{c}_{0} / a+\tilde{c}_{1} / a^{2}+\cdots+\tilde{c}_{n-1} / a^{n}=c_{n} / a^{n}$ if $a \in R^{*}$.
For the general case, $a \in R$, we can use the homographic invariance under argument transformation: ${ }^{1}$

Define an homographic transformation of the argument $t$,

$$
u=\frac{t}{1+b t} \leftrightarrow t=\frac{u}{1-b u},
$$

and thereby a new function $g(u)=f(t)=f(u /(1-b u))$.
Then (Theorem of Baker, Gammel, and Wills, see [3, p. 32, t.1])

$$
[n / n]_{f}(t)=[n / n]_{g}(u)
$$

From

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(t) & =c_{0}+\tilde{c}_{0} t+\tilde{c}_{1} t^{2}+\cdots \\
g(u) & =c_{0}+\tilde{c}_{0}\left(\frac{u}{1-b u}\right)+\tilde{c}_{1}\left(\frac{u}{1-b u}\right)^{2}+\cdots \\
& =c_{0}+\tilde{c}_{0} u+\left(\tilde{c}_{0} b+\tilde{c}_{1}\right) u^{2}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

The partial sums of the series $f$ at $t=1 / a$ corresponding to the partial sums of $g$ at $u=1 /(a+b)$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{0}^{(n)} & =c_{0}+\left(c_{1}-a c_{0}\right) \frac{1}{a+b}+\left[\left(c_{1}-a c_{0}\right) b+\left(c_{2}-a c_{1}\right)\right] \frac{1}{(a+b)^{2}}+\cdots \\
& =\frac{(b+E)^{n} c_{0}}{(b+a)^{n}}=\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} b^{n-j} c_{j}}{(b+a)^{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and if $a=0$ then we can take $b=1$ and so $\varepsilon_{0}^{(n)}=(I+E)^{n} c_{0}$.

## 4. Numerical Examples

## Example 1.

$$
c=d x+\delta_{0.5} \quad \text { on }[-1,1]
$$

[^0]Only the moments and the support of $c$ are assumed to be given. Since the distribution $d x$ is positive, $\varepsilon$-algorithm can be used as well as the Chebyshev polynomials. The mass at the point $a=0.5$ is $A=1$ (See Table I),

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
c_{n}=\frac{1-(-1)^{n}}{n+1}+0.5^{n} & \text { column (2) } \\
c\left[l_{n}(x, a)\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{n} c\left(T_{k}\right) T_{k}(a) / \sum_{k=0}^{n} T_{k}^{2}(a) & \text { column (4) } \\
\varepsilon_{2 n}^{(0)}\left(c_{n} a^{-n}\right) & \text { column (5) }
\end{array}
$$

( $c\left(T_{n}\right.$ ) (column (3)) does not converge to 0 , which confirms the presence of Dirac masses; see [16]).

We can see that both $c\left(l_{n}(x, a)\right)$ and $\varepsilon_{2 n}^{(0)}\left(c_{n} a^{-n}\right)$ converge to $A=1$. We can remark that the convergence of the first one is faster than that of the second one, but it must be noted that $\varepsilon$-algorithm does not require the knowledge of the support of $c$. In Table I the $\varepsilon_{n}^{(0)}$ with $n$ odd are omitted because they are only used for the computation of the $\varepsilon$-array (see Proposition 1).

TABLE I
Computation of the Mass $A$ at the Point $a=0.5$ for $c=\chi_{[-1.1]} d x+\delta_{0.5}$

| $(1)$ <br> $n$ | $(2)$ <br> $c_{n}$ | $(3)$ <br> $c\left(T_{n}\right)$ | $(4)$ <br> $c\left(l_{n}\right)$ | $(5)$ <br> $\varepsilon_{n}^{(0)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 3.0000 | 1.5000 | 3.0000 | 3.0000 |
| 1 | 0.5000 | 0.5000 | 2.3330 |  |
| 2 | 0.9167 | -1.1667 | 2.3330 | 2.1429 |
| 3 | 0.1250 | -1.0000 | 1.6670 |  |
| 4 | 0.4625 | -0.6333 | 1.6220 | 2.0940 |
| 5 | 0.0313 | 0.5000 | 1.5600 |  |
| 6 | 0.3013 | 0.9429 | 1.3840 | 1.6313 |
| 7 | 0.0078 | 0.5000 | 1.3580 |  |
| 8 | 0.2261 | -0.5317 | 1.3400 | 1.5102 |
| 9 | 0.0020 | -1.0000 | 1.2720 |  |
| 10 | 0.1828 | -0.5202 | 1.2610 | 1.4989 |
| 15 | 0.0000 | -1.0000 | 1.1700 |  |
| 20 | 0.0952 | -0.5050 | 1.1360 | 1.2406 |
| 25 | 0.0000 | 0.5000 | 1.1070 |  |
| 30 | 0.0645 | 0.9978 | 1.0880 | 1.1670 |
| 35 | 0.0000 | 0.5000 | 1.0780 |  |
| 40 | 0.0488 | -0.5008 | 1.0670 | 1.1332 |

## Example 2.

$$
c=\omega+4 \delta_{7.5} \quad \text { where } \quad\langle\omega, p\rangle=\int_{0}^{\infty} p(x) e^{-x} d x
$$

Here, the method of Section 2 cannot be used, since the interval is infinite. By applying the $\varepsilon$-algorithm (see Section 3) to the sequence $c_{n} 7.5^{-n}$, the limit of $\varepsilon_{2 n}^{(0)}$ is $A=4$,

$$
c_{n}=n!+4 \times 7.5^{n} \Rightarrow c_{n} 7.5^{-n}=n!7,5^{-n}+4
$$

(See Table II).
Example 3. Let the distribution be defined as

$$
c=\omega \quad \text { with } \quad \omega\left(x^{n}\right)=\int_{-1}^{1} x^{n} w(x) d x
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
w(x)=x+1 & \text { on } & {[-1,0.5[ } \\
w(x)=1-x & \text { on } & ] 0.5,1] .
\end{array}
$$

The coefficients

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
c_{n}=\frac{0.5^{n+1}}{n+2}+\frac{2}{(n+1)(n+2)} & n \text { even } \\
c_{n}=0.5^{n+1} /(n+2) & n \text { odd }
\end{array}
$$

are supposed to be given. The goal is, here, to compute the jump of $w$ at the point $a=0.5$ (this value $a=0.5$ can be approximated by the method explained in [16]).

TABLE II
Computation of the Mass $A$ at the Point $a=7.5$ for $c=e^{-x} d x+4 \delta_{7.5}$

| $n$ | $\varepsilon_{n}^{(0)}$ | $n$ | $\varepsilon_{n}^{(0)}$ | $n$ | $\varepsilon_{n}^{(0)}$ | $n$ | $\varepsilon_{n}^{(0)}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 5.000000 | 12 | 4.002010 | 24 | 4.001458 | 36 | 4.001123 |
| 2 | 4.023121 | 14 | 4.001910 | 26 | 4.001338 | 38 | 4.001105 |
| 4 | 4.004119 | 16 | 4.001858 | 28 | 4.001284 | 40 | 4.001105 |
| 6 | 4.003355 | 18 | 4.001635 | 30 | 4.001283 | 42 | 4.001083 |
| 8 | 4.002554 | 20 | 4.001532 | 32 | 4.001254 | 44 | 4.001036 |
| 10 | 4.002495 | 22 | 4.001532 | 34 | 4.001182 | 46 | 4.000993 |

The jumps of $w$ will appear in the derivative (in the sense of distributions) of $w$. If $w$ has a finite number of jumps of finite magnitude $A_{i}$ at points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q}$ then the derivative of $w$ satisfies

$$
w^{\prime}=\{w\}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{q} A_{i} \delta_{a_{i}},
$$

where $\{w\}^{\prime}$ is the derivative of $w$ in the usual sense, if it exists.
The moments of $w^{\prime}$ can be computed as

$$
\forall p \in P, \quad \int_{-1}^{1} p(x) w^{\prime}(x) d x=-\int_{-1}^{1} p^{\prime}(x) w(x) d x
$$

by integration by parts, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{n}^{\prime}:=\left\langle c^{\prime}, x^{n}\right\rangle & =-n c_{n-1} \quad n \geqslant 1 \\
c_{0}^{\prime} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

$c^{\prime}$ is not a positive distribution, so the $\varepsilon$-algorithm is uneffective. We can only use the Chebyshev kernel (See Table III). The sequence $c^{\prime}\left(T_{n}\right)$ does

## TABLE III

Computation of the Jump of $w(x)=1+x, x \in[-1,0.5], 1-x, x \in[0.5,1]$ at the Point $a=0.5$

| $(1)$ <br> $n$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ <br> $c_{n}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.000 | $c^{\prime}\left(T_{n}\right)$ | $c^{\prime}\left(l_{n}(x, a)\right)$ |
| 1 | -1.250 | -1.000 | 0.00000 |
| 2 | -0.167 | -0.333 | -0.83333 |
| 3 | -0.594 | 1.375 | -0.45833 |
| 4 | -0.050 | 0.933 | -0.91667 |
| 5 | -0.359 | -0.125 | -1.02222 |
| 6 | -0.013 | -1.029 | -0.94500 |
| 7 | -0.257 | -0.688 | -0.96888 |
| 8 | -0.003 | 0.317 | -0.99595 |
| 9 | -0.202 | 1.037 | -0.97339 |
| 10 | -0.001 | 0.657 | -0.98621 |
| 15 | -0.125 | 1.013 | -1.00178 |
| 20 | -0.000 | 0.426 | -0.99447 |
| 25 | -0.077 | -0.558 | -0.99605 |
| 30 | -0.000 | -1.001 | -0.99988 |
| 35 | -0.056 | -0.456 | -0.99842 |
| 40 | -0.000 | -0.538 | -0.99879 |

not converge to 0 , which indicates that the distribution $c^{\prime}$ has Dirac masses. The point $a=0.5$ can be calculated with

$$
a=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[c^{\prime}\left(T_{n+1}\right)+c^{\prime}\left(T_{n-1}\right)\right] / 2 c^{\prime}\left(T_{n}\right) \quad(\text { see }[16])
$$

and the mass $A=-1$ satisfies

$$
A=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c^{\prime}\left(l_{n}(x, a)\right)
$$

## 5. Limit of Totally Monotonic Sequences

An important application of the previous sections is the calculation of the limit of totally monotonic sequences.

Definition. A sequence $\left(c_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is said to be totally monotonic $\left(c_{n} \in \mathrm{TM}\right)$ if

$$
(-1)^{k} \Delta^{k} c_{n} \geqslant 0 \quad \text { for } \quad n, k=0,1, \ldots
$$

or equivalently if there exists a nondecreasing function $\alpha$ such that

$$
c_{n}=\int_{0}^{1} x^{n} d \alpha(x) \quad n=0,1, \ldots
$$

It is well known that a TM sequence is always convergent and that the limit $l$ satisfies

$$
l=\alpha(1)-\alpha\left(1^{-}\right) \quad[5, \text { pp. 116-120] }
$$

Since $\alpha$ is nondecreasing, we can apply Theorem 2 and thus the limit of the sequence $\left(c_{n}\right)_{n}$ can be found by the $\varepsilon$-algorithm [ $5, \mathrm{p} .165$ ]. It is possible to generalize the result of Section 2 for Jacobi polynomials on [0, 1].

In the particular case where $a=1$, it is possible to extend the properties of the Chebyshev reproducing kernel $l_{n}(x, a)$ of Section 2 to Jacobi polynomials on $[0,1]$ (shifted Jacobi polynomials).

Lemma 1. Let $P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ be the shifted Jacobi polynomial with $\beta>-1$, $\alpha>-1$. Let $l_{n}(x)=P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(x) / P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(1)$ then
(i) $l_{n}(1)=1$
(ii) $\beta<\alpha, \alpha>-\frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} l_{n}(x)=0, \forall x \in[0,1[$
(iii) $\left|l_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant 1 \forall x \in[0,1]$.

The polynomial $l_{n}(x)$ for $\alpha=1, \beta=0$ and $n=15$ is plotted in Fig. 4.
Proof. The Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ satisfy [18, p. 58]

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)} P_{m}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta} d x=0 \quad \text { if } \quad n \neq m
$$

The normalization of $P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is taken such that

$$
P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)=\binom{n+\alpha}{n}
$$

The shifted Jacobi polynomials are defined by

$$
P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(x)=P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(2 x-1) .
$$

$P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{1} P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(x) P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(x)(1-x)^{\alpha} x^{\beta} d x=0 \quad \text { if } n \neq m . \\
P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(1)=\binom{n+\alpha}{n} \\
P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(0)=P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-1)=(-1)^{n}\binom{n+\beta}{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, if $\beta\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle-\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\max _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1}\left|P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|=\binom{n+\alpha}{n} \approx n^{\alpha}, \quad \text { if } \quad \alpha>-\frac{1}{2}
$$

and

$$
\left|P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|=0\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right), \quad x \in[0,1[\quad[18, \text { p. } 168]
$$
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Thus
(i) $l_{n}(1)=P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(1) / P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(1)=1$
(ii) $\left|l_{n}(x)\right|=P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(x) /\binom{n+\alpha}{n} \leqslant 1, \forall x \in[0,1]$
(iii) $\left|l_{n}(x)\right|=0\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) /\left({ }^{n+x}{ }_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad$ when $\quad n \rightarrow \infty \quad$ for $\quad \alpha>-\frac{1}{2}$, $\forall x \in[0,1[$.

The Lebesgue theorem insures that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c\left(l_{n}(x)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1} l_{n}(x) d \alpha(x)=l
$$

Theorem 3. If the sequence $\left(c_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \in T M$ and $\alpha>-\frac{1}{2}, \alpha>\beta$ then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c\left(P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right)}{P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(1)}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n}
$$

TABLE IV

> Computation (Carried Out with 30 Digits) of the Limit of the Sequence $c_{n}=1 /(n+1)+\sum_{k=2}^{n+2}\left[1-\left(1-\left(1 / k^{3}\right)^{k}\right]\right.$

| $(1)$ <br> $n$ | $(2)$ <br> $c_{n}$ | $(3)$ <br> $\varepsilon_{n}^{(0)}\left(c_{n}\right)$ | $(4)$ <br> $c\left(l_{n}\right)$ | $(5)$ <br> $\varepsilon_{n}^{(0)}\left(c\left(l_{n}\right)\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.234 | 1.23437 | 1.23437500000 | 1.23437500000000000000 |
| 1 | 0.841 |  | 0.64494503506 |  |
| 2 | 0.735 | 0.69698 | 0.62035175592 | 0.61928095192382953370 |
| 3 | 0.691 |  | 0.62250209505 |  |
| 4 | 0.669 | 0.64161 | 0.62228637748 | 0.62230594922597273668 |
| 5 | 0.656 |  | 0.62231515838 |  |
| 6 | 0.647 | 0.62938 | 0.62231051757 | 0.62231120734183040435 |
| 7 | 0.642 |  | 0.62231137699 |  |
| 8 | 0.638 | 0.62548 | 0.62231117901 | 0.62231122482714539152 |
| 9 | 0.635 |  | 0.62231125343 |  |
| 10 | 0.633 | 0.62394 | 0.62231120596 | 0.62231122673275067693 |
| 11 | 0.631 |  | 0.62231124333 |  |
| 12 | 0.630 | 0.62323 | 0.62231121309 | 0.62231122657072932174 |
| 13 | 0.629 |  | 0.62231123724 |  |
| 14 | 0.628 | 0.62287 | 0.62231121822 | 0.62231122657169596626 |
| 15 | 0.627 |  | 0.62231123308 |  |
| 16 | 0.627 | 0.62267 | 0.62231122151 | 0.62231122657176348050 |
| 17 | 0.626 |  | 0.62231123052 |  |
| 18 | 0.626 | 0.6225 | 0.62231122348 | 0.62231122657176407405 |
| 19 | 0.625 |  | 0.62231122900 |  |
| 20 | 0.625 | 0.62247 | 0.62231122465 | 0.62231122657176411017 |

The computation of $c\left(P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right)$ is very easy with the following expression of $P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ [18, p. 68],

$$
P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(x)=\sum_{v=0}^{n}\binom{n+\alpha}{n-v}\binom{n+\beta}{v}(x-1)^{v} x^{n-v}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(P_{n}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right) & =\sum_{v=0}^{n}\binom{n+\alpha}{n-v}\binom{n+\beta}{v} c\left((x-1)^{v} x^{n-v}\right) \\
& =\sum_{v=0}^{n}\binom{n+\alpha}{n-v}\binom{n+\beta}{v} \Delta^{v} c_{n-v} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Numerical Example.

$$
c_{n}=1 /(n+1)+\sum_{k=2}^{n+2}\left[1-\left(1-\frac{1}{k^{3}}\right)^{k}\right] \quad n \geqslant 0
$$

The sequence $\left(c_{n}\right)_{n}$ is totally monotonic and the convergence is logarithmic.

Column (3) contains the diagonal of the $\varepsilon$-array, for the sequence $\left(c_{n}\right)_{n}$. Column (4) contains $c\left(l_{n}(x)\right)$ for $\alpha=1, \beta=0$. Using exact arithmetic, we saw that $c\left(l_{n}\right)$ is totally oscillating around its limit $l$ up to 50 that is $\left((-1)^{n}\left(c\left(l_{n}(x)\right)-l\right)\right) \in \mathrm{TM}$, and applying the $\varepsilon$-algorithm to it gives column (5) (see Table IV). The limit seems to be: $0.622311226571764110266 \ldots$. (computed by means of $E$-algorithm with auxiliary sequences $1 /(n+1), 1 /(n+1)^{2}, 1 /(n+1)^{3}, \ldots .($ See $[12,17])$ ).
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